



City of
Kelowna

Council Policy Review – Parks 2016

November 2016

Council Policy

Purpose

To provide strategic direction related to:

- ▶ Governance
- ▶ Programs
- ▶ Services

In alignment with:

- ▶ A clear objective, Council Priority

Policy Review Workshop

Each Policy is evaluated based on:

- ▶ Policy Objective
- ▶ Policy Effectiveness
- ▶ Policy Effort

A summary of each evaluation will include a proposed action/actions.

Policy #16

Road Right-of-Way Landscaping & Maintenance

Evaluation

Policy Objective	<i>Why does it exist?</i>	To provide direction to the public and City staff in administering requests for landscaping and maintenance of City boulevards
Policy Effectiveness	<i>How is it working?</i>	N/A
Policy Effort	<i>What is required to comply?</i>	N/A
Evaluation Summary	The key points in this policy were incorporated into Bylaw 10425 (Maintenance of Boulevards) in 2010. The policy is no longer needed.	
Proposed Action	Rescind the policy	

Policy #240

Overhead Street Banner Installations

Evaluation

Policy Objective	<i>Why does it exist?</i>	The policy contained regulations for installation of overhead banners at three downtown locations.
Policy Effectiveness	<i>How is it working?</i>	N/A - discontinued
Policy Effort	<i>What is required to comply?</i>	N/A
Evaluation Summary	The banner poles were decaying and removed in 2011. The program was discontinued due to high cost of replacement.	
Proposed Action	Rescind the policy	

Policy #279

Knox Mountain Park – spraying for pest infestation

Evaluation

Policy Objective	<i>Why does it exist?</i>	To outline criteria for spraying of trees at Knox Mountain Park to prevent pest damage
Policy Effectiveness	<i>How is it working?</i>	Originally written for Knox Mtn. Park, the policy could also be applied on other city properties (e.g. 2010 outbreak of tussock moth)
Policy Effort	<i>What is required to comply?</i>	Staff monitor and manage defoliator outbreaks according to the policy
Evaluation Summary	Staff recommend updating the title and the scope to cover other types of forest land in the City.	
Proposed Action	Update (and re-name) the policy	

Policy #242

Commemorative Recognitions in City Parks

Evaluation

Policy Objective	<i>Why does it exist?</i>	To establish acceptable criteria for plaques and commemorative recognitions in City parks and public spaces
Policy Effectiveness	<i>How is it working?</i>	The policy still works well but requires some updating
Policy Effort	<i>What is required to comply?</i>	New plaques, memorials or monuments must meet the criteria
Evaluation Summary	Minor updates are required to policy scope, including updates for exemptions to the policy	
Proposed Action	Update the policy	

Policy #364

Fencing Adjacent to City Owned Land

Evaluation

Policy Objective	<i>Why does it exist?</i>	to address fencing needs and maintenance between the boundary of City owned land and private property
Policy Effectiveness	<i>How is it working?</i>	The policy is working well
Policy Effort	<i>What is required to comply?</i>	New / replacement fencing must comply with the policy
Evaluation Summary	No changes are needed	
Proposed Action	Keep the policy (update into new format)	

Policy #171

Walkway Fencing – cost sharing

Evaluation

Policy Objective	<i>Why does it exist?</i>	to address fencing needs and maintenance along City walkways
Policy Effectiveness	<i>How is it working?</i>	The policy is redundant – already covered in Policy #364
Policy Effort	<i>What is required to comply?</i>	New / replacement fencing must comply with the policy
Evaluation Summary	Redundant	
Proposed Action	Rescind the policy	

Policy #302

Graffiti Prevention Program

Evaluation

Policy Objective	<i>Why does it exist?</i>	To set response targets and standards for graffiti control
Policy Effectiveness	<i>How is it working?</i>	The policy is still working well
Policy Effort	<i>What is required to comply?</i>	City staff work within established target levels for response and compliance
Evaluation Summary	Some standards should be moved into a future update of the Unsightly Premises Bylaw, which makes them more enforceable	
Proposed Action	Incorporate into the Unsightly Premises Bylaw; delete policy #302 once regulations added to bylaw	

Policy #260

Prohibited Trees and Shrubs (eradication of codling moth)

Evaluation		
Policy Objective	<i>Why does it exist?</i>	To help prevent the breeding of codling moth, which can threaten the local orchard industry
Policy Effectiveness	<i>How is it working?</i>	Compliance to the current policy is good; the SIR program has requested a moratorium on flowering pear trees (Parks has complied).
Policy Effort	<i>What is required to comply?</i>	New developments must comply through development permits; Parks has an acceptable planting list.
Evaluation Summary	Regulations should be incorporated into the Municipal Properties Tree Bylaw, which is more enforceable.	
Proposed Action	Incorporate into the Tree Bylaw (8042); delete Policy #260 once regulations added to bylaw	