

Heritage Advisory Committee Minutes

Date:

Friday, January 24, 2020

Time:

12:00 pm

Location:

Knox Mountain Meeting Room (#4A)

City Hall, 1435 Water Street

Members Present

Stoke Tonne Amanda Snyder Lorri Dauncey Doug Jooristy

Members Absent

Robert Wilkinson

Gord Lovegrove (Alternate) Clea Haugo (Alternate)

Staff Present

Planner, Jocelyn Black Planner, Lydia Korolchuk

Legislative Coordinator (Confidential), Clint McKenzie

1. Call to Order

The Chair called the meeting to order at 12:05 p.m.

Opening remarks by the Chair regarding conduct of the meeting were read.

2. Minutes

Moved By Amanda Snyder/Seconded By Doug Jooristy

THAT the Minutes of the November 21, 2019 Agricultural Advisory Committee meeting be adopted.

Carried

3. Applications for Consideration

3.1 Cadder Ave 338, HAP19-0015 - Jeanine Wiens & Daniel Konrad

Staff displayed a PowerPoint presentation providing an overview of the application.

Staff:

- Confirmed site plan is not correct.

- Confirmed the site plan drawings and the house being setback further from the street are not up to date and staff retrieved the most current drawings for the applicant for the committee to review.

- Application continued at 12:43 pm.

- Updated site plan of Oct 7th was presented by staff.

- Commented on massing of the application in the neighbourhood being provided via the context photos.

Birte Declouox, Urban Options Planning and Permits, Applicant's Agent

- Presented a PowerPoint presentation providing an overview of the heritage alteration application.
- Responded to questions from the Committee.

Moved By Amanda Snyder/Seconded By Doug Jooristy

THAT the Committee recommend to Council that they <u>not</u> support the Heritage Alteration Permit for the subject property.

Carried

Anedotal Comments

- Project is close but some areas the applicant needs to review:

- trimming features to soften the expression.

- dormer - way to look to delete it to give clarification to the front elevation of the house.

- garden and porch are strong elements.

- massing- it is a significant increase in massing. 2.5 storeys
- design elements not keeping with the heritage area
- metal roof shingles
- windows not metal
- setback not enough
- context to neighbourhood does not fit into streetscape

- Pleased that existing house is being saved and moved up to Burns Avenue

- Balcony distracts from the design, could the railing be simplified? Not part of the character of the neighbourhood.

3.2 Spiers Road 3652, HD20-0001 - Sue Haley

The Chair declared a conflict and Vice Chair Stoke took over meeting at 12:21pm.

Staff presented a PowerPoint presentation providing an overview of the heritage designation request application.

The applicant was not present for questions.

The Committee commented on the subject property using the Kelowna Heritage Register Evaluation Criteria that was completed by the consultant.

Staff: Heritage Designation vs, Register - staff explained the difference.

Staff: Confirmed there are about 20 heritage properties are designated in Kelowna to date.

Moved By Amanda Snyder/Seconded by Doug Jooristy

THAT the AAC recommends to Council that the subject property be designated as a municipal heritage building for long-term protection.

Carried

The Chair returned to the meeting at 12:42 p.m.

3.3 Park Ave 409, Heritage Register Removal Request - Brenda Rusnak

Staff:

- -Displayed a PowerPoint presentation providing an overview of the application.
- -Responded to questions from the Committee.

Applicant's Agent:

- Spoke to the condition of the house.
- No foundation system with a myriad of disconnected beams that is structurally inadequate.
- Spoke to the heritage evaluation of the house. Scoring as a C on the original register.

Dave Cullen, Applicant:

- Spoke to the commitment into design at approximately \$50,000.
- Spoke to structural assessment and additions to the house.
- Spoke to reasons why the resubmission of the HAP from previous year and issues found with the redevelopment of the house.
- Lived in neighbourhood for 50 years. economically unfeasible to go forth with original HAP reason why asking the house to be unlisted.

The Chair reminded the committee their purpose in reviewing this application.

Staff responded to questions from the Committee.

The Applicant responded to questions from the Committee.

The Committee reviewed the Heritage Register Evaluation Criteria for the subject property.

The final score was - 71 points out of 100 within the A category of the heritage register.

Moved By Stoke Tonne/Seconded By Amanda Snyder

THAT the AAC recommend to Council that the subject property remain on the Kelowna Heritage Register.

Carried

4. Update - Council Decisions

There were no updates.

6. Termination of Meeting

The Chair declared the meeting terminated at 2:20 pm.

		Cha