

1.0 Recommendation

THAT Rezoning Bylaw No. 11493 (Z17-0055) be amended at Third Reading to reflect the updated legal description from:

• Lot 1, District Lots 127 & 531, ODYD, Plan EPP67320, located at 1655 Dilworth Dr, Kelowna, BC

To:

- Lot A, District Lot 531, ODYD, Plan EPP82754, located at 1625 Dilworth Dr, Kelowna, BC
- Lot B, District Lots 531 & 127, ODYD, Plan EPP82754, located at 2250 Enterprise Way, Kelowna, BC

AND THAT final adoption of Rezoning Bylaw No. 11493 (Z17-0055) be considered by Council;

AND FURTHER THAT Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit No. DP17-0146 for: Lot A, District Lot 531, ODYD, Plan EPP82754, located at 1625 Dilworth Dr, Kelowna, BC, subject to the following:

- 1. The dimensions and siting of the building to be constructed on the land be in general accordance with Schedule "A";
- 2. The exterior design and finish of the building to be constructed on the land be in general accordance with Schedule "B";
- 3. Landscaping to be provided on the land to be in general accordance with Schedule "C";
- 4. That the applicant be required to post with the City, a Landscape Performance Security deposit in the form of a "Letter of Credit" in the amount of 125% of the estimated value of the landscaping, as determined by a professional landscaper;

AND THAT the Development Permit is issued subsequent to the outstanding conditions set out in Attachment "A" attached to the Report from the Community Planning Department dated May 7th 2018;

AND THAT the applicant be required to complete the above noted conditions of Council's approval of the Development Permit application in order for the permit to be issued;

AND FURTHER THAT the Development Permit be valid for two (2) years from the date of Council approval, with no opportunity to extend.

2.0 Purpose

To review the Form & Character Development Permit of a two building 23 unit strata industrial development.

3.0 Community Planning

Staff are recommending support for the proposed Development Permit due to the proposal's consistency with the majority of the Official Community Plan's (OCP) urban design guidelines. The front façade facing Dilworth Drive has presented a quality architectural "front feeling" appearance instead of presenting a typical side elevation. Overall, the form and character of this industrial building meets the quality expected in industrial buildings.

4.0 Proposal

4.1 Project Description

The proposed multi-tenant industrial development is proposed on an approximately 10,000 m² lot. Each industrial unit has a mezzanine space. The development has access from Dilworth Drive and has a shared cross access agreement with the proposed Ford Lincoln dealership located immediately to the South on 2250 Enterprise Way. The primary facades have a mix of building materials including a primary white colour finish, unpainted concrete, as well as blue and black accent finishes on various materials (facades, canopies, trim, vertical sunshades, window/door trim).

4.2 Site Context

The subject property is located within the Midtown Urban Centre. The subject property is designated as Industrial (IND) in the OCP and the lot is within the Permanent Growth Boundary. Specifically, the adjacent land uses are as follows:

Orientation	Zoning	Land Use
North	12 – General Industrial	Industrial
	I1 – Business Industrial	
East	C10 – Service Commercial	Commercial
South	P4 – Utilities	Fire Department
50001	C9 – Tourist Commercial	Commercial
	C10 – Service Commercial	
West	12 – General Industrial	Vacant / Industrial
	I3 – Heavy Industrial	

Subject Property Map: 1625 Dilworth Drive



4.3 Zoning Analysis Table

Zoning Analysis Table				
CRITERIA	12 ZONE REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSAL		
	Development Regulations			
Height	14.0 m	9.3 m / 2 storeys		
Front Yard (Dilworth)	7.5 m	17.4 m		
Side Yard (north)	0.0 m	1.2 m		
Side Yard (south)	0.0 m	13.5 m		
Rear Setback (East)	0.0 m	12.5 m		
Site coverage of buildings	60%	42%		
FAR	1.5 Max	0.58		
Parking Regulations				
Minimum Parking Requirements	111 stalls	122 stalls		
Minimum Loading spaces	3 spaces	23 spaces		

Zoning Analysis Table			
CRITERIA	12 ZONE REQUIREMENTS	PROPOSAL	
Ratio of Parking Stalls	Full size: 50% Min Medium Size: 40% Max Small Size: 10% Max	Full size: 69% (84 stalls) Medium Size: 30% (36 stalls) Small Size: 0% (0 stalls) Accessible Stalls: 1.6% (2 stalls)	
Minimum Drive Aisle Width	7.0 m	7.0 m	
Minimum Bicycle Parking	18 (Class 2)	34 (Class 2)	

5.0 Current Development Policies

5.1 Kelowna Official Community Plan (OCP)

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT GUIDELINES

Consideration has been given to the following guidelines as identified in Section 14.A. of the City of Kelowna Official Community Plan relating to Comprehensive Development Permit Areas:

COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AREA	YES	NO	N/A
Authenticity and Regional Expression			
Do landscaping and building form convey a character that is distinct to Kelowna and the Central Okanagan?	x		
Are materials in keeping with the character of the region?	х		
Are colours used common in the region's natural landscape?	х		
Does the design provide for a transition between the indoors and outdoors?	х		
Context			
Does the proposal maintain the established or envisioned architectural character of the neighbourhood?	х		
Does interim development consider neighbouring properties designated for more intensive development?	x		
Are façade treatments facing residential areas attractive and context sensitive?			x
Are architectural elements aligned from one building to the next?			
For exterior changes, is the original character of the building respected and enhanced?			х
Is the design unique without visually dominating neighbouring buildings?	x		
For developments with multiple buildings, is there a sense of architectural unity and cohesiveness?	х		
Relationship to the Street			
Do buildings create the desired streetscape rhythm?	х		
Are parkade entrances located at grade?			x
For buildings with multiple street frontages, is equal emphasis given to each frontage?			х

COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AREA	YES	NO	N/A
Massing and Height			
Does the design mitigate the actual and perceived mass of buildings?	х		
Does the height consider shading and view impacts for neighbouring properties and transition to less intensive areas?	х		
Human Scale			
Are architectural elements scaled for pedestrians?	х		
Are façades articulated with indentations and projections?	x		
Are top, middle and bottom building elements distinguished?	x		
Do proposed buildings have an identifiable base, middle and top?			х
Are building facades designed with a balance of vertical and horizontal proportions?	×		
Are horizontal glazed areas divided into vertically proportioned windows separated by mullions or building structures?	x		
Does the design incorporate roof overhangs and the use of awnings, louvers, canopies and other window screening techniques?	x		
Is the visual impact of enclosed elevator shafts reduced through architectural treatments?			Х
Exterior Elevations and Materials			
Are buildings finished with materials that are natural, local, durable and appropriate to the character of the development?	Х		
Are entrances visually prominent, accessible and recognizable?	Х		
Are higher quality materials continued around building corners or edges that are visible to the public?	x		
Are a variety of materials used to create contrast, enhance the pedestrian environment and reduce the apparent mass of a building?	x		
Are elements other than colour used as the dominant feature of a building?	Х		
Public and Private Open Space			
Does public open space promote interaction and movement through the site?	Х		
Are public and private open spaces oriented to take advantage of and protect from the elements?	Х		
Is there an appropriate transition between public and private open spaces?	Х		
Are amenities such as benches, garbage receptacles, bicycle stands and community notice boards included on site? Site Access			x
Is the safe and convenient movement of pedestrians prioritized?			v
Are alternative and active modes of transportation supported through the site			x

DP17-0146 - Page 6

COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AREA	YES	NO	N/A
Are identifiable and well-lit pathways provided to front entrances?	X		
Do paved surfaces provide visual interest?	x		
Is parking located behind or inside buildings, or below grade?	x		
Are large expanses of parking separated by landscaping or buildings?	x		
Are vehicle and service accesses from lower order roads or lanes?	x		
Do vehicle and service accesses have minimal impact on the streetscape and public views?	Х		
Is visible and secure bicycle parking provided in new parking structures and parking lots?			х
Environmental Design and Green Building			
Does the proposal consider solar gain and exposure?			Х
Are green walls or shade trees incorporated in the design?			Х
Does the site layout minimize stormwater runoff?			х
Are sustainable construction methods and materials used in the project?	L	unknown	
Are green building strategies incorporated into the design?		x	
Decks, Balconies, Rooftops and Common Outdoor Amenity Space			
Are decks, balconies or common outdoor amenity spaces provided?			х
Does hard and soft landscaping enhance the usability of decks, balconies and outdoor amenity spaces?			х
Are large flat expanses of roof enhanced with texture, colour or landscaping where they are visible from above or adjacent properties?			х
Amenities, Ancillary Services and Utilities		1	1
Are loading, garage, storage, utility and other ancillary services located away from public view?	х		
Are vents, mechanical rooms / equipment and elevator penthouses integrated with the roof or screened with finishes compatible with the building's design? Crime prevention			Х
Are CPTED practices as related to landscaping, siting, form and exterior design			
included in the design?			Х
Are building materials vandalism resistant?	unknown		
Universal Accessible Design			
Is access for persons with disabilities integrated into the overall site plan and clearly visible from the principal entrance?	x		
Are the site layout, services and amenities easy to understand and navigate?	x		
Signs			

DP17-0146 - Page 7

COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AREA	YES	NO	N/A
Do signs contribute to the overall quality and character of the development?	х		
Is signage design consistent with the appearance and scale of the building?	х		
Are signs located and scaled to be easily read by pedestrians?	х		
For culturally significant buildings, is the signage inspired by historical influences?			х
Lighting			
Does lighting enhance public safety?	х		
Is "light trespass" onto adjacent residential areas minimized?			Х
Does lighting consider the effect on the façade, neighbouring buildings and open spaces?			Х
Is suitably scaled pedestrian lighting provided?			х
Does exterior street lighting follow the International Dark Sky Model to limit light pollution?	x		

6.0 Technical Comments

- 6.1 Development Engineering
 - See attached Memo dated July 25th 2017.

7.0 Application Chronology

Date of Application Received:	June 22 nd 2017
Date of Public consultation:	n/a

Report Prepared by:	Adam Cseke, Urban Planner
Reviewed by:	Terry Barton, Urban Planning Manager
Approved by:	Ryan Smith, Community Planning Manager

Attachments:

Development Engineering Comments dated July 25th 2017 (Attachment 'A') Development Permit (Schedule A, B, & C)